### Some Remarks on Landau-Siegel Zeros

#### Debmalya Basak (Joint work with Jesse Thorner and Alexandru Zaharescu)



Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

February 14, 2024

Image: A matrix



2 Consequences of Landau-Siegel Zeros

3 Refinements of Siegel's Theorem

æ

A B A A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Dirichlet (1837) introduced characters χ(mod D) to prove there are infinitely many primes p ≡ a (mod D), (a, D) = 1. One key step in the proof is to show that L(1, χ) ≠ 0 for each non-principal character χ(mod D).

- Dirichlet (1837) introduced characters χ(mod D) to prove there are infinitely many primes p ≡ a (mod D), (a, D) = 1. One key step in the proof is to show that L(1, χ) ≠ 0 for each non-principal character χ(mod D).
- Fairly easy to show that L(1, χ) ≠ 0 if χ is complex (so that x̄ ≠ χ), but the non-vanishing of L(1, χ) for real characters χ is more subtle.

- Dirichlet (1837) introduced characters χ(mod D) to prove there are infinitely many primes p ≡ a (mod D), (a, D) = 1. One key step in the proof is to show that L(1, χ) ≠ 0 for each non-principal character χ(mod D).
- Fairly easy to show that  $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$  if  $\chi$  is complex (so that  $\bar{\chi} \neq \chi$ ), but the non-vanishing of  $L(1, \chi)$  for real characters  $\chi$  is more subtle.
- To this end, Dirichlet developed his class number formula

$$L(1,\chi_D) = \frac{\pi h(-D)}{\sqrt{D}}, \quad D > 4.$$

• Class number formula relates special value of *L*-function to class number of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ .

æ

- Class number formula relates special value of *L*-function to class number of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ .
- Class number h(-D) is order of a finite group, hence is a positive integer, so

 $L(1,\chi_D) \gg D^{-1/2}.$ 

with effective constant.

- Class number formula relates special value of *L*-function to class number of  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ .
- Class number h(-D) is order of a finite group, hence is a positive integer, so

$$L(1,\chi_D) \gg D^{-1/2}.$$

with effective constant.

• For some applications, lower bound  $L(1,\chi) \gg D^{-1/2}$  is not strong enough.

# Motivation and Background

Landau-Siegel Zeros

• Assuming GRH:

$$\log \log D \gg L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\log \log D}.$$

2

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• Assuming GRH:

$$\log \log D \gg L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\log \log D}.$$

• Unconditionally, can show  $L(1, \chi) \ll \log D$ , but lower bounds are more difficult to obtain.

э

• Assuming GRH:

$$\log \log D \gg L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\log \log D}.$$

- Unconditionally, can show  $L(1, \chi) \ll \log D$ , but lower bounds are more difficult to obtain.
- Not able to rule out a real zero  $\beta$  of  $L(s, \chi)$  with  $\beta$  close to s = 1. Such a real zero  $\beta$  is a Landau-Siegel zero.

• Classical zero-free region shows  $L(\sigma + it, \chi)$  has at most one real zero  $\beta$  in region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c}{\log(q(2+|t|))}.$$

æ

• Classical zero-free region shows  $L(\sigma+it,\chi)$  has at most one real zero  $\beta$  in region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - rac{c}{\log(q(2+|t|))}$$

• We say  $\chi$  is an exceptional character, or that  $\chi$  has a Landau-Siegel zero, if  $L(\beta, \chi) = 0$  for some  $\beta \ge 1 - c/\log q$ .

• Classical zero-free region shows  $L(\sigma + it, \chi)$  has at most one real zero  $\beta$  in region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - rac{c}{\log(q(2+|t|))}.$$

- We say  $\chi$  is an exceptional character, or that  $\chi$  has a Landau-Siegel zero, if  $L(\beta, \chi) = 0$  for some  $\beta \ge 1 c/\log q$ .
- We do not make constant c > 0 explicit, but it is fixed and effective.

(

6/21

• Classical zero-free region shows  $L(\sigma + it, \chi)$  has at most one real zero  $\beta$  in region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - rac{c}{\log(q(2+|t|))}.$$

- We say  $\chi$  is an exceptional character, or that  $\chi$  has a Landau-Siegel zero, if  $L(\beta, \chi) = 0$  for some  $\beta \ge 1 c/\log q$ .
- We do not make constant c > 0 explicit, but it is fixed and effective.

(

• Landau showed that exceptional characters, if they exist, appear only rarely.

6/21

• Hecke showed that no real zero in classical zero-free region implies

$$L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\log D}$$

with effective implied constant.

• Hecke showed that no real zero in classical zero-free region implies

$$L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\log D}$$

with effective implied constant.

• In such a situation, this yields a respectable bound

$$h(-D) \gg \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\log D}$$

• Hecke showed that no real zero in classical zero-free region implies

$$L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\log D}$$

with effective implied constant.

• In such a situation, this yields a respectable bound

$$h(-D) \gg \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\log D}$$

• By Hecke's result it follows that  $L(1,\chi) = o((\log D)^{-1}) \Longrightarrow L(s,\chi)$  has a Landau-Siegel zero.

• One can obtain stronger lower bounds on  $L(1, \chi)$ .

æ

• • • • • • • •

- One can obtain stronger lower bounds on  $L(1, \chi)$ .
- For instance, Landau (1935) showed

$$L(1,\chi) \gg_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{D^{3/8+\varepsilon}}.$$

and Siegel (1935) improved this to

$$L(1,\chi)\gg_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{D^{\varepsilon}}.$$

•

- One can obtain stronger lower bounds on  $L(1, \chi)$ .
- For instance, Landau (1935) showed

$$L(1,\chi) \gg_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{D^{3/8+\varepsilon}}.$$

and Siegel (1935) improved this to

$$L(1,\chi)\gg_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{D^{\varepsilon}}.$$

• Unfortunately, the proofs, in principle, doesn't allow for a determination of the constant in terms of  $\varepsilon$ .

•

• Linnik's theorem: There exists an absolute constant L > 0 such that for any (a, D) = 1, there exists  $p \equiv a \pmod{D}$  with  $p \ll D^{L}$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

- Linnik's theorem: There exists an absolute constant L > 0 such that for any (a, D) = 1, there exists  $p \equiv a \pmod{D}$  with  $p \ll D^L$ .
- Current record is L = 5, due to Xylouris (2011).

- Linnik's theorem: There exists an absolute constant L > 0 such that for any (a, D) = 1, there exists  $p \equiv a \pmod{D}$  with  $p \ll D^L$ .
- Current record is L = 5, due to Xylouris (2011).
- Assuming strong Landau-Siegel zero (i.e.  $L(1, \chi)$  very small), Friedlander-Iwaniec (2003) have shown in certain ranges that  $L < 2 - \frac{1}{59}$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

- Linnik's theorem: There exists an absolute constant L > 0 such that for any (a, D) = 1, there exists  $p \equiv a \pmod{D}$  with  $p \ll D^L$ .
- Current record is L = 5, due to Xylouris (2011).
- Assuming strong Landau-Siegel zero (i.e.  $L(1, \chi)$  very small), Friedlander-Iwaniec (2003) have shown in certain ranges that  $L < 2 - \frac{1}{50}$ .
- GRH gives  $L < 2 + \varepsilon$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

• This is not an isolated phenomenon. One can prove many strong results assuming the existence of a Landau-Siegel zero.

- This is not an isolated phenomenon. One can prove many strong results assuming the existence of a Landau-Siegel zero.
- However, since we do not believe Landau-Siegel zeros exist, we think of these results as being "illusory".

- This is not an isolated phenomenon. One can prove many strong results assuming the existence of a Landau-Siegel zero.
- However, since we do not believe Landau-Siegel zeros exist, we think of these results as being "illusory".
- They look impressive, but they lose content if such zeros are finally eliminated.

- This is not an isolated phenomenon. One can prove many strong results assuming the existence of a Landau-Siegel zero.
- However, since we do not believe Landau-Siegel zeros exist, we think of these results as being "illusory".
- They look impressive, but they lose content if such zeros are finally eliminated.
- Why prove illusory results?

• Some results require considering separately the case where a Landau-Siegel zero exists, and the case where it does not, for e.g., Linnik's Theorem.

A D > A B > A

- Some results require considering separately the case where a Landau-Siegel zero exists, and the case where it does not, for e.g., Linnik's Theorem.
- By exploring this presumably illusory "Landau-Siegel zero universe" more extensively, we may eventually be able to hit upon a contradiction, and thus finally resolve the notorious problem of whether a Landau-Siegel zeroes exist.

- Some results require considering separately the case where a Landau-Siegel zero exists, and the case where it does not, for e.g., Linnik's Theorem.
- By exploring this presumably illusory "Landau-Siegel zero universe" more extensively, we may eventually be able to hit upon a contradiction, and thus finally resolve the notorious problem of whether a Landau-Siegel zeroes exist.
- It may end up that the Landau-Siegel zero universe is indicative of some other alternate, exotic form of number theory which is actually self-consistent.

- Some results require considering separately the case where a Landau-Siegel zero exists, and the case where it does not, for e.g., Linnik's Theorem.
- By exploring this presumably illusory "Landau-Siegel zero universe" more extensively, we may eventually be able to hit upon a contradiction, and thus finally resolve the notorious problem of whether a Landau-Siegel zeroes exist.
- It may end up that the Landau-Siegel zero universe is indicative of some other alternate, exotic form of number theory which is actually self-consistent.
- Girolamo Saccheri in his Euclides Vindicatus (1733) essentially discovered Hyperbolic Geometry, by building around the hypothesis that the angles of a triangle add up less than 180°.

• There are infinitely many prime pairs p, p + h for any fixed nonzero h (Heath-Brown, 1983).

A D > A B > A

- There are infinitely many prime pairs p, p + h for any fixed nonzero h (Heath-Brown, 1983).
- Primes in the short interval (x y, x] for any y > x<sup>1/2-1/58+ε</sup> (Friedlander-Iwaniec, 2004). RH gives y > x<sup>1/2+ε</sup>.

- There are infinitely many prime pairs p, p + h for any fixed nonzero h (Heath-Brown, 1983).
- Primes in the short interval (x y, x] for any  $y > x^{1/2 1/58 + \varepsilon}$ (Friedlander-Iwaniec, 2004). RH gives  $y > x^{1/2 + \varepsilon}$ .
- Infinitely many primes of the form  $p = a^6 + b^2$  (Friedlander-Iwaniec, 2005).

# Consequences of Landau-Siegel Zeros

Some Illusory Results

• Landau-Siegel zeros distort Montgomery's pair correlation function (Montgomery; Heath-Brown ). Almost always, distance between zeros of zeta is at least half of the average spacing Conrey-Iwaniec (2002).

A D > A B > A

#### Consequences of Landau-Siegel Zeros Some Illusory Results

- Landau-Siegel zeros distort Montgomery's pair correlation function (Montgomery; Heath-Brown ). Almost always, distance between zeros of zeta is at least half of the average spacing Conrey-Iwaniec (2002).
- The Hardy-Littlewood Chowla Conjecture (Tao-Teräväinen, 2021): For  $0 \le k \le 2$  and  $\ell \ge 0$  and any distinct integers  $h_1, \ldots, h_k, h'_1, \ldots, h'_{\ell}$ , an asymptotic formula for

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \Lambda(n+h_1)\cdots \Lambda(n+h_k) \lambda(n+h_1')\cdots \lambda(n+h_\ell').$$

#### Consequences of Landau-Siegel Zeros Some Illusory Results

- Landau-Siegel zeros distort Montgomery's pair correlation function (Montgomery; Heath-Brown ). Almost always, distance between zeros of zeta is at least half of the average spacing Conrey-Iwaniec (2002).
- The Hardy-Littlewood Chowla Conjecture (Tao-Teräväinen, 2021): For  $0 \le k \le 2$  and  $\ell \ge 0$  and any distinct integers  $h_1, \ldots, h_k, h'_1, \ldots, h'_{\ell}$ , an asymptotic formula for

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \Lambda(n+h_1)\cdots \Lambda(n+h_k) \lambda(n+h_1')\cdots \lambda(n+h_\ell').$$

 Assuming the existence of an exceptional character mod D, Čech and Matomäki showed non-vanishing of L(1/2, χ) for almost all χ(mod q), for any q ∈ [D<sup>300</sup>, D<sup>O(1)</sup>]. On GRH one can show 50% of central values are non-vanishing.

.

13/21

#### Consequences of Landau-Siegel Zeros Some Illusory Results

• What is the mechanism underlying illusory results?

- What is the mechanism underlying illusory results?
- The key point is that small value of  $L(1, \chi)$  forces  $\chi(p) = -1$  for "most" primes p.

Image: A math the second se

- What is the mechanism underlying illusory results?
- The key point is that small value of  $L(1, \chi)$  forces  $\chi(p) = -1$  for "most" primes p.
- Heuristic for why this is true:

$$L(1,\chi) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right)^{-1},$$

so if LHS is small, we must have  $\chi(p) = -1$  for many p on RHS.

- What is the mechanism underlying illusory results?
- The key point is that small value of  $L(1, \chi)$  forces  $\chi(p) = -1$  for "most" primes p.
- Heuristic for why this is true:

$$L(1,\chi) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right)^{-1},$$

so if LHS is small, we must have  $\chi(p) = -1$  for many p on RHS.

This implies μ(n) ≈ χ(n) for squarefree n. This is a powerful piece of information.

Tatuzawa's Result

• Define

 $\mathcal{S} = \{\chi (\mathsf{mod} q) : \chi \text{ primitive and real } \}.$ 

æ

イロト イロト イヨト イ

Tatuzawa's Result

Define

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\chi (\mathsf{mod} q) : \chi \text{ primitive and real } \}.$$

• It follows from a minor modification of Tatuzawa's refinement of Siegel's theorem that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ , there exists effectively computable constants  $q_0 = q_0(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

 $\#\left\{\chi\in\mathcal{S}:q\geq q_0 \text{ and } L(s,\chi) \text{ has a real zero in } \left[1-q^{-\varepsilon},1\right)\right\}\leq 1.$ 

Define

$$S = \{\chi (mod q) : \chi \text{ primitive and real } \}.$$

• It follows from a minor modification of Tatuzawa's refinement of Siegel's theorem that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ , there exists effectively computable constants  $q_0 = q_0(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

 $\#\left\{\chi\in\mathcal{S}:q\geq q_0\text{ and }L(s,\chi)\text{ has a real zero in }\left[1-q^{-\varepsilon},1\right)\right\}\leq 1.$ 

• Further numerical refinements of Tatuzawa's result due to Hoffstein, Ji-Lu and Chen.

• Sarnak and Zaharescu improved Tatuzawa's theorem assuming that if  $\nu \in S$  and  $\omega$  is a zero of  $L(s, \nu)$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\operatorname{Im}(\omega) = 0$ .

- Sarnak and Zaharescu improved Tatuzawa's theorem assuming that if  $\nu \in S$  and  $\omega$  is a zero of  $L(s, \nu)$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\operatorname{Im}(\omega) = 0$ .
- Subject to this hypothesis, it follows from their work that for any ε > 0, there exists an effectively computable constant q<sub>0</sub> = q<sub>0</sub>(ε) > 0 such that

$$\#\left\{\chi\in\mathcal{S}:q\geq q_{0}\text{ and }L(s,\chi)\text{ has a real zero in }\left[1-\left(\log q\right)^{-\varepsilon},1\right)\right\}\leq1.$$

A D > A B > A

- Sarnak and Zaharescu improved Tatuzawa's theorem assuming that if  $\nu \in S$  and  $\omega$  is a zero of  $L(s, \nu)$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\operatorname{Im}(\omega) = 0$ .
- Subject to this hypothesis, it follows from their work that for any ε > 0, there exists an effectively computable constant q<sub>0</sub> = q<sub>0</sub>(ε) > 0 such that

$$\#\left\{\chi\in\mathcal{S}:q\geq q_{0}\text{ and }L(s,\chi)\text{ has a real zero in }\left[1-\left(\log q\right)^{-\varepsilon},1\right)\right\}\leq1.$$

• In particular, they "exponentiate" the quality of the zero free region at the cost of a hypothesis that, while assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis for the non-real zeros, still permits the existence of Landau-Siegel zeros.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

16/21

• We prove that the conclusion of Sarnak and Zaharescu holds under a significantly weaker hypothesis. Fix  $0 < \delta < 1/2$ .

#### Hypothesis $(H_{\delta})$

If  $\nu \in S$ , then all the zeros of  $L(s, \nu)$  in the disk  $|z - 1| < \delta$  are real.

Image: A math the second se

• We prove that the conclusion of Sarnak and Zaharescu holds under a significantly weaker hypothesis. Fix  $0 < \delta < 1/2$ .

#### Hypothesis $(H_{\delta})$

If  $\nu \in \mathcal{S}$ , then all the zeros of  $L(s, \nu)$  in the disk  $|z - 1| < \delta$  are real.

#### Theorem (B.-Thorner-Zaharescu)

Fix  $0 < \delta \le 1/2$ . Assume that  $H_{\delta}$  is true. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an effectively computable constant  $q_0 = q_0(\delta, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\#\left\{\chi\in\mathcal{S}:q\geq q_{0} ext{ and } L(s,\chi) ext{ has a real zero in } \left[1-\left(\log q
ight)^{-arepsilon},1
ight)
ight\}\leq 1.$$

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Non-Negativity

For q<sub>0</sub> = q<sub>0</sub>(δ, ε) to be optimized, suppose there exists χ<sub>1</sub> and χ<sub>2</sub> of conductors q<sub>1</sub> ≥ q<sub>0</sub> and q<sub>2</sub> ≥ q<sub>0</sub>, such that L(s, χ<sub>1</sub>) and L(s, χ<sub>2</sub>) have real zeros β<sub>1</sub> and β<sub>2</sub> respectively satisfying

 $\beta_1 \ge 1 - (\log q_1)^{-\varepsilon}$  and  $\beta_2 \ge 1 - (\log q_2)^{-\varepsilon}$ .

(日)

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Non-Negativity

For q<sub>0</sub> = q<sub>0</sub>(δ, ε) to be optimized, suppose there exists χ<sub>1</sub> and χ<sub>2</sub> of conductors q<sub>1</sub> ≥ q<sub>0</sub> and q<sub>2</sub> ≥ q<sub>0</sub>, such that L(s, χ<sub>1</sub>) and L(s, χ<sub>2</sub>) have real zeros β<sub>1</sub> and β<sub>2</sub> respectively satisfying

$$\beta_1 \ge 1 - (\log q_1)^{-\varepsilon}$$
 and  $\beta_2 \ge 1 - (\log q_2)^{-\varepsilon}$ 

• Define  $F(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2)L(s, \chi_1\chi_2)$ . For  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ ,  $-\frac{F'}{F}(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)(1 + \chi_1(n))(1 + \chi_2(n))}{n^s}.$ 

18/21

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Non-Negativity

For q<sub>0</sub> = q<sub>0</sub>(δ, ε) to be optimized, suppose there exists χ<sub>1</sub> and χ<sub>2</sub> of conductors q<sub>1</sub> ≥ q<sub>0</sub> and q<sub>2</sub> ≥ q<sub>0</sub>, such that L(s, χ<sub>1</sub>) and L(s, χ<sub>2</sub>) have real zeros β<sub>1</sub> and β<sub>2</sub> respectively satisfying

$$\beta_1 \ge 1 - (\log q_1)^{-\varepsilon}$$
 and  $\beta_2 \ge 1 - (\log q_2)^{-\varepsilon}$ 

• Define 
$$F(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2)L(s, \chi_1\chi_2)$$
. For  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ ,  
 $-\frac{F'}{F}(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)(1 + \chi_1(n))(1 + \chi_2(n))}{n^s}$ .

• On the other hand, we have the partial fraction expansion

$$-\frac{F'}{F}(s)=\frac{1}{s-1}-\sum_{F(\rho)=0}\left(\frac{1}{s-\rho}+\frac{1}{\rho}\right)+B.$$

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Non-Negativity

• Differentiating (kl-1) times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(s-1)^{k\ell}} &- \sum_{F(\rho)=0} \frac{1}{(s-\rho)^{k\ell}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k\ell-1)!} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\Lambda(n) \left(1 + \chi_1(n)\right) \left(1 + \chi_2(n)\right) \left(\log n\right)^{k\ell-1}}{n^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Image: A math the second se

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Non-Negativity

• Differentiating (kl-1) times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(s-1)^{k\ell}} &- \sum_{F(\rho)=0} \frac{1}{(s-\rho)^{k\ell}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k\ell-1)!} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\Lambda(n) \left(1 + \chi_1(n)\right) \left(1 + \chi_2(n)\right) (\log n)^{k\ell-1}}{n^s}. \end{aligned}$$

• Choose  $s = 1 + \eta$ , for some  $\eta > 0$  that we will optimize. Using non-negativity and taking the real part, one has

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}} - \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}\sum_{F(\rho)=0} \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\rho)^{k\ell}} \geqslant 0$$

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Turan's Power Sum Method

• Rearranging, we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}} - \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\beta_1)^{k\ell}} \ge \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\beta_2)^{k\ell}} + \operatorname{Re}\sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0\\ \lim \rho \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\rho)^{k\ell}}.$$
 (1)

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Turan's Power Sum Method

• Rearranging, we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}} - \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\beta_1)^{k\ell}} \ge \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\beta_2)^{k\ell}} + \operatorname{Re}\sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0\\ \lim \rho \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\rho)^{k\ell}}.$$
 (1)

• Hypothesis  $H_{\delta}$  says complex zeros of F(s) cannot come very close to  $s = 1 + \eta$ . So the RHS of (1) is dominated by the real zero  $\beta_2$ .

A Brief sketch of the Proof : Turan's Power Sum Method

• Rearranging, we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{k\ell}} - \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\beta_1)^{k\ell}} \ge \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\beta_2)^{k\ell}} + \operatorname{Re}\sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0\\ \lim \rho \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\rho)^{k\ell}}.$$
 (1)

- Hypothesis  $H_{\delta}$  says complex zeros of F(s) cannot come very close to  $s = 1 + \eta$ . So the RHS of (1) is dominated by the real zero  $\beta_2$ .
- Applying Turan's Inequality, for some r = O(1), one has

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{r\ell}} - \frac{1}{(1+\eta-\beta_1)^{r\ell}} \ge \frac{1}{8(1+\eta-\beta_2)^{r\ell}}.$$

• By optimizing  $\eta$  and  $q_0$  in terms of  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ , we arrive at a contradiction.

A B A A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

### Thank you for your attention!

æ